

2017/2018 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Factual Findings

El Dorado County CAO
<p>The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final application.</p> <p>For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations at http://www.ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/2008-regulations-rev-1-16.pdf</p>
General Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 5 points. • #6 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points. • #11b – Information provided does not support a formal onsite program. Deduct 1 point. • #11c – Volunteers not considered staff. Deduct 2 points. • #12b – URL provided was not changed. URL still directs to a 'page not found'. Therefore the content in 12b cannot be considered. Deduct 5 points.

Ground Operations	G17-03-06-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 - "DOT Engineering Design" is an indirect cost. Move \$30,796 to indirect grant. • Materials/Supplies - #7 - "Solar Batteries", are an indirect cost. Move \$1,500 to indirect match. • Materials/Supplies - #14 - "Solar Batteries 100 amp", are an indirect cost. Move \$500 from grant and \$500 from match to indirect, same fund type. • Materials/Supplies - #15 - "Solar Controller", is an indirect cost. Move \$150 from match to indirect match. • Materials/Supplies - #16 - "Solar Panels", is an indirect cost. Move \$560 from grant to indirect grant. • Materials/Supplies - #17 - "Solar kit 400 Watts + batteries" is an indirect cost. Move \$1,780 from grant to indirect grant. • Materials/Supplies - #18 - "Radio, data card, various", are an indirect cost. Move \$900 from match to indirect match. • New Grant Request for the Materials/Supplies Cost Category is \$17,654. New match amount is \$10,613. • Total moved to indirect is \$31,856 from grant and \$4,830 from match. 	

2017/2018 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Factual Findings

- Equipment Use Expense - #2 - "Mini Excavator", Applicant increased total by \$5. Deduct \$5 from match. Line item total is back to \$2,500.
- Applicant now exceeds its indirect cost limit. Deduct the items moved from direct, \$31,856 from grant and \$4,830 from match. Deduct an additional \$4,778.60 from grant indirect. Total indirect is now \$19,814.40.

Revised Grant Request is \$151,910.

Revised Match is \$66,133.

Total Project Cost is \$218,043.

Evaluation Criteria

- #4 – Applicant did not address the Division comment for how the meeting was publically notice and did not explain their email distribution list. Deduct 1 point.
- #5 – Narrative does not support the selection of “4 or more”. Applicant did to separate out each partner and describe how each one individually will actively participate in the Project. Deduct 4 points.
- #6 – Question narrative does not provide specific examples/details for how items selected will be accomplished. Also, note that the 'Providing an alternative to wet crossings' is for items being created during the Project vs. just being maintained. Deduct 5 points.
- #7 – Project Description only supports the 'Barrier materials...' selection. Deduct 2 points.

Planning

G17-03-06-P01

Project Description

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Contract- Economic Analysis Consultant- Applicant failed to identify the source of match. Applicant is reminded that a contractor cannot provide match.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – Project is for an Economic Impact Study and does not lead to improved facilities. Deduct 6 points.
- #4 – Narrative does not support selection of stakeholders. Applicant failed to identify why stakeholders are stakeholders to the project. Deduct 1 point.
- #6 – Narrative does not support selection. Applicant added additional partners after preliminary. Narrative supports 2 partners (metal cloak and jeepers jamboree). Deduct 2 points.

2017/2018 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Factual Findings

- #7- Narrative does not support the selection. Project is for an Economic Feasibility Study and will not develop new management plans. Deduct 6 points.

Education and Safety	G17-03-06-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contracts – “Rubicon Trail Foundation”- Applicant removed language of assisting the Sheriff with large groups and logistics but did not adjust the Project Cost Estimate accordingly. Deduct \$563 from Grant Request, new line item total \$42,557. • Indirect – Costs exceeds 15% maximum limit. Deduct \$84 from Grant Request, revised line item total \$6,384. <p>Revised Grant Request Total: \$48,941 Revised Match Total: \$18,750 Revised Total Project Cost: \$67,691</p>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points. • #4 – Applicant added partners without Division or public comment. Additionally, “Jeepers Jamboree” and “Jeep USA” are names of organized events so they do not qualify as a group or organization. Deduct 2 points. • #6 – Narrative does not support Stakeholders selection. Applicant did not explain how the stakeholders are stakeholders (vested interest) in the Project. Deduct 1 point. • #7 – Narrative does not support the selections of “Process of researching issues and audience” and “Testing process to ensure actions are effective” in a clear identifiable manner. Deduct 4 points. 	